For long, forced migration and refugee studies strongly rested on case studies whereas comparative research was absent (Harrell-Bond 1998, Chatty 2007). Meanwhile, an increasing number of studies began shifting this trend (e.g. MMC 2018, Wacker 2019). But the diversity of designs, contexts and data continues to complicate direct comparison and case studies remain prevalent. Only in refugee policy and refugee integration studies are comparative approaches more common. Further to this, case studies are often either conducted in the Global North or from a Global North perspective; the Global South and Global East are less well researched, though we see more and more studies on and in these regions; in particular, postcolonial approaches inspire this.

Indeed, temporally and regionally comparative perspectives on similar or different conditions, practices of forced migration, or cohorts or types of refugees as well as features such as commonalities and differences in agency, resilience, vulnerability, transnationalism, family situations, and so on are an important method (e.g. Kleist 2018). This facilitates recognising trajectories and patterns as well as interdependencies but also notorious blind spots or hegemonic practices of knowledge production and subsequently the identification of new research questions.

This workshop shall be devoted mainly to forced migration and displacement processes and the underlying causes/drivers/motivations, infrastructures, experiences, particularities, geography etc. but less so to policy. It aims to promote and strengthen the recent shifts towards a comparative approach as well as generally a reflexive approach in the field. To this end, it wishes to obtain a better overview over the state-of-the-art of comparative forced migration studies. This shall also facilitate sketching some key parameters of comparative forced migration and refugee studies. Another objective is to link comparative migration studies to refugee studies. Equally important is it to increase the visibility or even enhance perspectives of the Global South and East.

The debate is hosted by the Institute for Migration Research and Intercultural Studies at Osnabrück University (IMIS) and part of the FFVT-Workshop Series. It will be held online via Zoom Meetings.

For registration, please send an email to ffvt@uos.de including name, affiliation and email address by 22 September.

Programme
Tue, 28.9.2021, 15:00-18:30 (CEST)
15:00 Welcome: Franck Düvell (FFVT, IMIS)

Panel 1: Conceptional, Theoretical, and Methodological Advances
15:15 • Nergis Canefe (York University, CA): Decolonizing Forced Migration Studies: Breaking free of the ‘Area Studies’ Mold
• Jorge Morales Cardiel (Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, MX): Differential Experiences of Forced displacement among Garifuna and Honduran mestizo ethnic groups migrating through Mexico to the United States

16:10 Break (10 min)
16:20  • Aline Lima and Vera Hazan† (PUC-Rio, BR): Precariousness programmed and or challenge of humanitarian architecture  
• Eva Bahl & Arne Worm (Göttingen University, DE): Situating violence in migration processes: The explanatory power of biographical case studies  
Chair: Ulrike Krause (FFVT-IMIS)

17:10 Break (20 min)

17:30 Keynote  
Dawn Chatty (RSC Oxford, UK): Case studies or comparative research? A plea for greater engagement with comparisons  
Chair: Franck Düvell (FFVT, IMIS)

Wed, 29.9.2021, 9:00-14:00 (CEST)

9:15 Panel 2: Comparing Well-Being, Refugee Economics and Exploitation  
• Deena Dajani (IIED, UK), Michael Owiso (Maseno University, KE), Jawid Hassanzai (Samuel Hall, AF): Trans-local displacement, transnational research: conducting comparative research on refugee wellbeing  
• Olivier Sterck (RSC Oxford, UK): Explaining variation in the economic behaviour and economic outcomes for refugees  
• Ann Zuntz (University of Edinburgh, UK): Refugees in global capitalism  
Chair: Lorenz Wiese (FFVT, CHREN)

10:45 Break (15 min)

11:00 Panel 3: Diverse Parameters of Comparative Forced Migration Research or Internal and Internal Displacement: Comparing Drivers, Geographies and Groups  
• Ludger Pries, Berna Safak Zülfikar Savci (Ruhr Universität Bochum, DE): Do Mexico and Turkey have the same meaning for forced migrants in terms of transit countries?  
• Ahmet Icduygu (Koç University Istanbul, TR): Syrians and Afghans in Turkey  
• Lidia Kuzemska (Lancaster University, UK): IDPs in Ukraine and other post-Soviet countries  
Chair: Maarit Thiem (FFVT, BICC)

12:30 Break (15 min)

12:45 Round Table: Identifying the obstacles to comparative forced migration research and how to address the current shortcoming  
Moderation: Marcel Berlinghoff, Franck Düvell (FFVT, IMIS)

14:00 End

About FFVT: The cooperation project “Forced Migration and Refugee Studies: Networking and Knowledge Transfer” (FFVT) is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF). It aims to strengthen interdisciplinary forced migration and refugee research in Germany. To this end, the project brings together research on migration, development, conflict and violence, climate change, health, governance and human rights and other topics. FFVT supports the networking of researchers and institutes working in all relevant research fields dealing with forced migration. Furthermore, FFVT seeks to promote the internationalization of German research activities.  

FFVT is jointly run by the Bonn International Center for Conversion (BICC), the Centre for Human Rights Erlangen-Nürnberg (CHREN, University of Erlangen Nuremberg), the German Development Institute (DIE, Bonn) and the Institute for Migration Research and Intercultural Studies (IMIS), Osnabrück University.  

Information and Contact: https://ffvt.net/en